

Professional Obligations of Clinicians and Institutions in Pediatric Care Settings during a Public Health Crisis: A Review

Naomi T. Lavalentha, MD, MA¹, Ratna B. Basak, MD, FRCPC², Mary Lynn Dell, MD, DMin³, Nanette Elster, JD, MPH⁴, Gina Geis, MD, MS⁵, Robert C. Macauley, MD⁶, Mark R. Mercurio, MD, MA⁷, Douglas J. Opel, MD, MPH⁸, David I. Shalowitz, MD, MSHP⁹, Mindy B. Statter, MD, MBE¹⁰, and Douglas S. Diekema, MD, MPH⁸

Since March of 2020, pediatric clinicians have faced unprecedented disruption in the care of children due to the public health crisis caused by the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, previously named 2019 novel coronavirus and abbreviated 2019-nCoV.¹ Although serious illness after infection in children is rare, limitations in testing capability, unique symptomatology, and rapidly changing public health conditions have constrained our ability to fully grasp the direct impact of COVID-19 on child health. Both healthy and chronically ill children have been impacted in innumerable ways, including recent identification of multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children after infection in some cases.²⁻⁷ As COVID-19 has swept across the US, preventive and problem-oriented care for children has been profoundly disrupted, requiring that thousands of appointments be cancelled or converted to virtual visits.^{8,9} The tidal wave of critically ill adults has strained hospitals and health systems, including those that care for children, potentially placing children and adults in competition for the same resources. Although the pandemic has thus far generally not required children and adults to be placed in direct competition for resources, institutions have taken significant measures to develop surge strategies and rationing algorithms should the demand exceed the supply.

The pandemic has raised a multitude of ethical issues. In the early stages of the pandemic, the most urgent questions surrounded scarce resource allocation, particularly amidst the fear that there would not be enough ventilators, ICU beds, trained professionals, and medications, and concern by many stakeholder groups that age, disability and other factors would bring out bias and discrimination inherent in triage protocols.¹⁰⁻¹⁶ The question of how the medical needs of children should be addressed in a setting of resource scarcity is addressed by this author group in a separate publication.¹⁷ The abrupt conversion to crisis standards of care, in which usual approaches to and standards of clinical care must be altered in the face of catastrophe, has been largely unfamiliar to many practicing pediatricians at the time that COVID-19 reached the U.S.; consequently, many of these changes were likely disorienting and stressful, and introduced

unfamiliar moral dilemmas. In this review, we provide an ethical framework for consideration of personal accountability to the profession, basic expectations for institutions to support pediatric healthcare workers, and strategies to prevent and mitigate the potentially harmful psychological toll of a public health disaster on healthcare professionals.¹⁸

Professional Obligations to Care for Patients during a Public Health Crisis

In the last 25 years, infectious disease epidemics—including HIV, SARS, and Ebola—have provoked repeated reflection regarding clinicians' obligations to treat the sick despite a heightened risk to their own personal health.¹⁹⁻²¹ These reflections have revealed several areas of common ground. One area of consensus is that clinicians have a duty to treat and should not abandon their patients. As stated in the American Medical Association Code of Ethics, there is an "imperative to care for patients and to alleviate suffering" and "place patients' welfare above the physician's own self-interest or obligations to others."²² This imperative is rooted in the specialized skills and knowledge clinicians have acquired to heal and provide relief from the burdens of disease. It also helps to preserve the trust that is fundamental to a relationship in which the patient is in a position of vulnerability.

This duty to treat, however, is not unlimited. It is now widely appreciated that clinicians' duty to treat is tempered by "the rights of providers to receive appropriate training and resources to protect themselves."²¹ In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, the supply of adequate personal protective equipment (PPE) has been limited at many institutions, and infection among insufficiently protected healthcare workers has been observed in this pandemic and previous public health crises.²³⁻³⁰ There is no universally

COVID-19	Coronavirus disease 2019
PPE	Personal protective equipment
SARS	Severe acute respiratory distress syndrome

From the ¹University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan; ²Brookdale University Hospital Medical Center, Brooklyn, New York; ³The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, Ohio; ⁴Loyola University Stritch School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois; ⁵Albany Medical College, Albany, New York; ⁶Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, Oregon; ⁷Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut; ⁸University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington; ⁹Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; and ¹⁰Children's Hospital at Montefiore, Bronx, New York

M.D. has publishing contracts with American Psychiatric Publishing and Oxford University Press. The other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

0022-3476/\$ - see front matter. © 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.06.054>

applicable guidance for how clinicians should balance their obligations to patient care and their own interest in personal safety.

In the “Physicians’ Responsibilities in Disaster Response & Preparedness,” the American Medical Association roots the balance of personal safety and obligation to serve in the scope of professional responsibility: “when providing care in a disaster with its inherent dangers, physicians also have an obligation to evaluate the risks of providing care to individual patients vs the need to be available to provide care in the future.”³¹ Consequently, the decision to provide care to high-risk patients without adequate PPE should be individualized by healthcare providers after careful consideration of their own moral priorities and competing obligations, as well as the proportional risks to the clinician and benefit for the patient.^{25,30,32} At a minimum, institutions should have a clearly defined process for clinicians seeking to be excused from their duties due to inadequate PPE, and avoid placing employees seeking redress at risk for discipline or termination if the standard of safe PPE has not been met.³³

Even with appropriate training and resources, however, additional precautions for healthcare workers in particularly high-risk groups might be justifiable. These measures may include exempting certain workers from caring for COVID-positive patients or from direct patient care altogether.^{34,35} Groups of clinicians that may be exempted include clinicians who are 65 years or older, immunocompromised, or with significant comorbidities.³⁶ As evidence improves regarding the magnitude and nature of the risks to clinicians in these groups, the appropriateness of these exemptions may change. In addition, if patient care demands surge and the pool of available clinicians dwindles owing to exemptions and illness, additional factors may be added to discussions about work force determinations. Many states have legislation that gives state leaders broad authority to alter licensure requirements, allow retired healthcare professionals to return to active duty, and allow physicians to practice outside their specialty.^{37,38}

Considering how to balance clinicians’ competing obligations amid a pandemic like COVID-19 is also important. Clinicians have an ethical obligation to also care for their own families and protect them from harm. Notably, this obligation is interconnected with the duty to treat, because assumption of personal risk by fulfilling one’s duty to treat increases the likelihood of acquiring and transmitting disease to family members when returning home.

Balancing family and professional obligations during this pandemic in part depends on a personal assessment of the acceptability of risks. Clinicians may find themselves asking questions like, “When would I decide to isolate myself from my family in order to protect them? When the risk of my transmitting the disease to them exceeds 1%, 5%, or 10%? How long would I tolerate such a separation?”²⁰ Yet, there may be ways to minimize personal risks, avoid adding significant burden to colleagues, and still fulfill family obligations and the duty to treat. For instance, high-risk clinicians

may be able to transition to conducting health supervision visits (instead of visits for acute care) or only doing telemedicine.

Healthcare institutions and the state also have a duty to help clinicians manage competing obligations. Because clinicians are critical to saving lives during a pandemic, healthcare institutions and the state have a reciprocal obligation to ensure clinician well-being.³⁹ This obligation may require institutions and the state to assist clinicians in managing competing family obligations, including access to childcare, the provision of adequate PPE, and other measures that minimize the likelihood of acquiring COVID-19 in the workplace.^{40,41}

Clinicians may experience moral distress and professional frustration when asked to care for patients who have chosen to disregard public health advisories designed to decrease the risk of viral transmission (ignoring “stay-at-home” orders, refusing to wear masks in public, gathering in groups). They may question whether their obligations to care for sick patients with COVID-19 infection extend to those who did not take steps to protect themselves and others, particularly in the face of highly publicized examples of large gatherings without appropriate distancing or mask use.^{42,43} Although some have argued that a patient’s conscious choice to jeopardize their health might be an appropriate reason to exclude them from some scarce resources on moral (rather than prognostic) grounds, retaliatory exclusion from care on the basis of unwise health decisions and behaviors is both morally and practically problematic.⁴⁴ Among other issues, identifying those who made informed and voluntary choices to ignore public health orders and advice among those presenting with COVID-19 infection is nearly impossible and not within the realm of the professional’s role. Rather, the focus should be on supporting clinicians who experience distress.

Redeployment of Pediatricians

As crisis standards of care are implemented, pediatricians may also be asked to take on responsibilities and clinical care that would otherwise be considered outside their scope of practice. Pediatricians, for example, have been among those asked to treat adult COVID-19 patients to address shortages of adult medical providers in some hospitals.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ Laws and regulations in some states are being adapted to allow this redeployment.⁴⁹ Additionally, some states prospectively granted immunity from civil liability for healthcare workers if they are providing care to someone with COVID-19 who is injured or dies so long as they have not acted with gross negligence.⁵⁰

From a utilitarian perspective, such a reassignment of duties may be ethical in that it supports the greatest good for the greatest number. This is only the case, however, if the physician is able to provide more benefit than harm. Therefore, redeployment should be done carefully. Physicians with recent experience treating adults, albeit in different clinical settings (adult specialists), should be prioritized over

pediatricians in redeployment to adult care settings. In addition, redeployment of pediatricians should occur in a tiered manner, first being redeployed to treating adults up to age 25 years old, given the relative similarity of this group of patients to the patients 18-21 years of age that pediatricians are accustomed to treating. Only if no other option remains should it become appropriate to redeploy pediatricians to treating older adults.

An additional factor to consider in redeployment calculations is whether taking a physician away from their usual duties will create gaps in care. A careful assessment of needs and resources must thus be undertaken to ensure that the health of children will not suffer as a result. To ensure that the needs of all patients are met, clinical staff should be involved in the process of planning and implementing crisis protocols.

Clinician Workforce Well-being and Safety

The overarching ethical imperative of healthcare institutions during a pandemic is to provide the safest possible environment and resources for patient care. This duty applies to many types of institutions including, but not limited to, hospitals, outpatient facilities, organizations engaged in medical education, other organizations with administrative oversight of medical care, and comprehensive medical centers providing medical services and education across the full continuum of care. Institutional obligations can be divided into the provision and maintenance of facilities, space, equipment, perishable supplies, policies, and accreditations required for patient care; and services, policies, and administrative leadership attentive and responsive to the professional and personal well-being of clinicians. Guaranteeing adequate space, ensuring an adequate supply of necessary equipment, and providing and maintaining safe conditions in the medical workplace fosters the best possible patient care and minimizes clinician stress and anxiety. Institutions have the obligation to continuously review and revise policies and procedures to improve patient care and system operations, even in the midst of pandemic conditions. Institutional obligations to healthcare providers include delivery of thorough, relevant, and concise information to healthcare providers in a timely matter. This includes basic medical information about COVID-19 and its management, and policy and procedural updates specific to the practice sites; provision of adequate supplies of PPE, laboratory testing supplies, bedside medical equipment, and anticipated medications; plans for alternative workload assignments, schedules, flexing of job descriptions, and appropriate training if indicated or beneficial to the organization's missions; good faith efforts to adhere to and remain up-to-date with recommendations by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and compliance with US Department of Labor Occupational Health and Safety Administration regulations, in addition to state and local ordinances.⁵¹⁻⁵⁵

In addition to stable work conditions and provision of sufficient resources to fulfill obligations to patient care, institu-

tions have ethical obligations to safeguard the physical and mental health and well-being of all workers. Such attention to well-being minimizes stress, moral injury, and compassion fatigue, thereby supporting and enhancing patient care in pandemics and other disasters. Institutions should monitor and support clinician needs in the following areas: adequate opportunities to maintain hydration, nourishment, and personal hygiene; strategies to identify and mitigate fatigue; maintain and honor contractual obligations regarding salary and benefits; and provide support for staff in their roles as parents, children of older parents, and in other relationships vital to well-being. Such support may include regular breaks for communications during work shifts, maintenance of benefits for dependents, access to trained counselors and support staff; providing for and supporting debriefing sessions and other opportunities to discuss experiences with peers and colleagues, independent of professional supervisory or mental health counseling opportunities; provision of mental health support services through regular Employee Assistance Program channels, or other added services tailored especially for clinician mental health needs; provision of spiritual or religious support; and training on compassionate, empathic leadership skills for managers and supervisors so they can anticipate and address the potential needs of employees during pandemics and other disasters.^{35,56,57}

Psychological and Moral Identities of Clinicians during a Public Health Crisis

The term *moral distress*, introduced in 1984, refers to clinicians' experiences of powerlessness or helplessness to "do the right thing" or bring about good treatment outcomes despite believing they know the proper course of action.⁵⁸ The concept of *moral injury* has evolved into an umbrella term to capture not just single or multiple events of moral distress, but also qualities of clinician post-traumatic stress disorder, and lasting assaults to the psychological, physical, spiritual, and culturally influenced aspects of providers' professional, personal, and moral identities.^{59,60}

The substantial literature on moral distress in pediatric intensive care units and neonatal intensive care units is potentially relevant to COVID-19. Studies of distress include a preponderance of nurses and allied health professionals who typically reported frustration, powerlessness, guilt, anguish, sleep disturbance, sadness, and isolation when they believed the proper treatment decisions were not implemented.⁶¹⁻⁶³ The terms moral distress and burnout are often conflated, although moral distress may be one of many key drivers of burnout. Burnout has been described as "a syndrome of emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment and refers specifically to one's relationship with work" and is commonly associated with heavy workloads, excessive hours per day, and accrued years of work.⁶⁴ General job disillusionment and burnout are often unrelated to moral dilemmas or injury.^{65,66}

Pediatricians may also learn about psychological responses and moral injury of clinicians from other pandemics. In the

2003 SARS epidemic in Toronto, staff reported anxiety, insomnia, fear of contagion, and significant stress from caring for peers and colleagues as patients.⁶⁷ Uncertainty about the length and outcome of the pandemic added to their moral distress. Helpful management strategies included emotional and material support and resources for affected caregivers, multidisciplinary collaboration, recognition that individuals respond to stress in a variety of ways, and authoritative hospital leadership who communicated clearly.⁶⁷ In the 2009 H1N1 pandemic in Japan, providers cited that trust between them and their hospitals, local, and national governments was vital to their willingness to work and essential to minimizing fear and moral distress.⁶⁸ Early studies of psychological effects of COVID-19 in the general population of China reveal that approximately one-half of those responding report moderate to severe levels of depression, anxiety, and/or stress. Hand washing, wearing a mask, and having specific, up-to-date information mitigated these reactions.⁶⁹ Healthcare workers caring for COVID-19 patients, especially nurses and other front-line clinicians, also reported depression, anxiety, and distress, plus insomnia.⁷⁰ Providers redeployed to adult COVID-19 units who care for extremely ill patients with a very high mortality rate, particularly among patients who progress to respiratory and kidney failure may specifically experience a sense of hopelessness and futility.^{71,72}

As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, pediatricians will be at risk for moral distress and injury if they are required to care for patients, and know how to do so effectively, but do not have sufficient medical resources or a large enough workforce to support their efforts. Redeployment into roles for which they feel ill-prepared is another risk factor, especially if they feel responsible for suboptimal outcomes in their assigned positions. In addition, all clinicians bring their own individual psychological vulnerabilities and resiliencies to their medical work during pandemics. The combination of continued, disciplined self-care and professionalism, peer support and multidisciplinary teamwork, support from medical institutions, and access to mental health and spiritual care are essential. Finally, healthcare professionals want to have confidence that their voice and expertise are heard and valued as leaders and governing bodies develop emergency preparedness strategies.⁷³

Conclusion

The implementation of crisis standards of care during the COVID-19 pandemic, including the enactment of scarce resource allocation, the deployment of triage teams, the mass redirection of clinical resources, and an inadequate and uncertain supply chain for PPE in the setting of a highly transmissible disease, poses challenges to our sense of professional identity, and forces us to reconsider our moral obligations as healthcare providers. Although we cannot resolve every moral ambiguity in this unprecedented time, ethics literature, previous epidemics, and emerging data from

countries that have already endured the peak of disease burden to help inform our ethical analysis and, we hope, offer guidance to pediatricians for this pandemic. ■

Reprint requests: Naomi T. Lavalent, MD, MA, 8-621 C.S. Mott Children's Hospital, 1540 E. Hospital Dr, SPC 4254, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109-4254. E-mail: naomilav@med.umich.edu

References

1. Frequently asked questions - COVID-19. 2020. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/faq.html#anchor_1584386215012. Accessed April 4, 2020.
2. Xiang M, Zhang Z, Kuwahara K. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on children and adolescents' lifestyle behavior larger than expected. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2020. Apr 30, in press.
3. Pietrobello A, Pecoraro L, Ferruzzi A, Heo M, Faith M, Zoller T, et al. Effects of COVID-19 lockdown on lifestyle behaviors in children with obesity living in Verona, Italy: a longitudinal study. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2020 [Epub ahead of print].
4. Fazzi E, Galli J. New clinical needs and strategies for care in children with neurodisability during COVID-19. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2020;62:879-80.
5. Edwards E. At least 85 kids across U.S. have developed rare, mysterious COVID-19-linked illness. 2020. <https://www.nbcnews.com/health/health-news/least-85-kids-across-u-s-have-developed-rare-mysterious-n1202186>. Accessed May 5, 2020.
6. Panupattanapong S, Brooks EB. New spectrum of COVID-19 manifestations in children: Kawasaki-like syndrome and hyperinflammatory response. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2020 [Epub ahead of print].
7. Chiotos K, Bassiri H, Behrens EM, Blatz AM, Chang J, Diorio C, et al. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children during the COVID-19 pandemic: a case series. *J Pediatr Infect Dis Soc* 2020 [Epub ahead of print].
8. Coronavirus COVID-19 Global Cases by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University. 2020. <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html>. Accessed June 16, 2020.
9. Guidance on Providing Pediatric Ambulatory Services via Telehealth During COVID-19. 2020. <https://services.aap.org/en/pages/2019-novel-coronavirus-covid-19-infections/guidance-on-providing-pediatric-ambulatory-services-via-telehealth-during-covid-19/>. Accessed April 5, 2020.
10. Tartak JC, Khidir H. Opinion: U.S. must avoid building racial bias into COVID-19 emergency guidance. 2020. <https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2020/04/21/838763690/opinion-u-s-must-avoid-built-racial-bias-into-covid-19-emergency-guidance>. Accessed April 21, 2020.
11. Savin K, Guidry-Grimes, Laura. Confronting disability discrimination during the pandemic. 2020. <https://www.thehastingscenter.org/confronting-disability-discrimination-during-the-pandemic/>. Accessed April 21, 2020.
12. McGrath C. Italian hospital makes heartbreaking decision not to intubate anyone over the age of 60. New York, NY: New York State Department of Health; 2020.
13. Katz P. Disability discrimination complaint filed over COVID-19 treatment rationing plan in Washington State. 2020. <https://thearc.org/disability-discrimination-complaint-filed-over-covid-19-treatment-rationing-plan-in-washington-state/>. Accessed April 5, 2020.
14. Curtis JR, Kross EK, Stapleton RD. The importance of addressing advance care planning and decisions about do-not-resuscitate orders during novel coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA* 2020. Mar 27 [Epub ahead of print].
15. Baker MA, Sheri F. At the top of the Covid-19 curve, how do hospitals decide who gets treatment?. 2020. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/31/us/coronavirus-covid-triage-rationing-ventilators.html>. Accessed April 21, 2020.

16. Emanuel EJ, Persad G, Upshur R, Thome B, Parker M, Glickman A, et al. Fair allocation of scarce medical resources in the time of Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:2049-55.
17. Laventhal N, Basak R, Dell ML, Diekema D, Elster N, Geis G, et al. The ethics of creating a resource allocation strategy during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Pediatrics* 2020 [Epub ahead of print].
18. Galea S, Merchant RM, Lurie N. The mental health consequences of COVID-19 and physical distancing: the need for prevention and early intervention. *JAMA Intern Med* 2020 [Epub ahead of print].
19. Emanuel EJ. Do physicians have an obligation to treat patients with AIDS? *N Engl J Med* 1988;318:1686-90.
20. Feudtner C, Wadleigh J. Should I stay or should I go? The physician in time of crisis. *Virtual Mentor* 2006;8:208-13.
21. Frader JR. Responding to Ebola: health care professionals' obligations to provide care. 2014. <https://www.thehastingscenter.org/responding-to-ebola-health-care-professionals-obligations-to-provide-care/>. Accessed April 5, 2020.
22. AMA Principles of Medical Ethics. <https://www.ama-assn.org/about/publications-newsletters/ama-principles-medical-ethics>. Accessed April 5, 2020.
23. Bernstein L, Safarpour A. Mask shortage for most health-care workers extended into May, Post-Ipsos poll shows. 2020. https://www.washingtonpost.com/health/mask-shortage-for-most-health-care-workers-extended-into-may-post-ipsos-poll-shows/2020/05/20/1ddb5888-9a21-11ea-ac72-3841fcc9b35f_story.html. Accessed June 10, 2020.
24. Shortage of personal protective equipment endangering health workers worldwide. 2020. <https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/03-03-2020-shortage-of-personal-protective-equipment-endangering-health-workers-worldwide>. Accessed June 10, 2020.
25. Thompson AK, Faith K, Gibson JL, Upshur RE. Pandemic influenza preparedness: an ethical framework to guide decision-making. *BMC Med Ethics* 2006;7:E12.
26. Dyer O. Covid-19: cases rise in Russia as health workers pay the price for PPE shortage. *BMJ (Clin Res Ed)* 2020;369:m1975.
27. Iacobucci G. COVID-19: doctors still at "considerable risk" from lack of PPE, BMA warns. *BMJ (Clin Res Ed)* 2020;368:m1316.
28. Maguire BJ, Shearer K, McKeown J, Phelps S, Gerard DR, Handal KA, et al. The ethics of PPE and EMS in the COVID-19 era. 2020. <https://www.jems.com/2020/04/10/ethics-of-ppe-and-ems-in-the-covid-19-era/>. Accessed June 10, 2020.
29. Sharma A, Maxwell CR, Farmer J, Greene-Chandos D, LaFaver K, Benameur K. Initial experiences of US neurologists in practice during the COVID-19 pandemic via survey. *Neurology* 2020 [Epub ahead of print].
30. Torda A. Ethical issues in pandemic planning. *Med J Australia* 2006;185(Suppl. 10):S73-6.
31. Physicians' Responsibilities in Disaster Response & Preparedness. <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/ethics/physicians-responsibilities-disaster-response-preparedness>. Accessed April 5, 2020.
32. Schuklenk U. Health care professionals are under no ethical obligation to treat COVID-19 patients. *J Med Ethics* 2020 [Epub ahead of print].
33. Ethical guidance published for frontline staff dealing with pandemic. 2020. <https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/news/ethical-guidance-published-frontline-staff-dealing-pandemic>. Accessed June 10, 2020.
34. Kofman A, Hernandez-Romieu A. Protect older and vulnerable health care workers from Covid-19. 2020. <https://www.statnews.com/2020/03/25/protect-older-and-vulnerable-health-care-workers-from-covid-19/>. Accessed May 11, 2020.
35. Adams JG, Walls RM. Supporting the health care workforce during the COVID-19 global epidemic. *JAMA* 2020 [Epub ahead of print].
36. Information for healthcare professionals: COVID-19 and underlying conditions. 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/underlying-conditions.html>. Accessed April 4, 2020.
37. McBride B. Memorandum: gubernatorial strategies for health care workforce and facility capacity. 2020. <https://www.nga.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/NGA-Health-Workforce-and-Facility-Memo-Final-formatted.pdf>. Accessed April 5, 2020.
38. COVID-19 emergency declaration blanket waivers for health care providers. 2020. <https://www.cms.gov/files/document/summary-covid-19-emergency-declaration-waivers.pdf>. Accessed April 5, 2020.
39. Institute of Medicine Committee on Guidance for Establishing Crisis Standards of Care for Use in Disaster Situations. *Crisis standards of care: a systems framework for catastrophic disaster response, 1*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2012.
40. Emergency Child Care Resources - Illinois Department of Human Services. Message for Communities & Providers from the Governor's Office of Early Childhood Development. 2020. <https://www.dhs.state.il.us/page.aspx?item=123541>. Accessed July 6, 2020.
41. Elassar A. Meet the medical students who launched a program to offer childcare to hospital workers fighting the coronavirus pandemic. 2020. <https://www.cnn.com/2020/03/18/us/minnesota-students-babysitting-health-care-workers-coronavirus-trnd/index.html>. Accessed April 7, 2020.
42. At Lake of the Ozarks, it's (almost) business as usual, despite the coronavirus. 2020. <https://khn.org/news/missouri-lake-of-the-ozarks-business-as-usual-for-tourists-despite-pandemic/>. Accessed June 10, 2020.
43. Alemany J. Power up: Memorial Day weekend parties and crowds spark warnings from public health officials. 2020. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/powerpost/paloma/powerup/2020/05/26/powerup-memorial-day-weekend-parties-and-crowds-spark-warnings-from-public-health-officials/5ecc3338602ff165d3e4093e/>. Accessed June 10, 2020.
44. Kukora S, Laventhal N. Choosing wisely: should past medical decisions impact the allocation of scarce ECMO resources? *Acta Paediatr* 2016;105:876-8.
45. Halazun H. Today, we are all Covid-19 doctors. 2020. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/06/opinion/coronavirus-doctor-covid.html>. Accessed May 4, 2020.
46. Bannow T. As COVID-19 cases threaten capacity, children's hospitals resist taking adults. 2020. <https://www.modernhealthcare.com/hospitals/covid-19-cases-threaten-capacity-childrens-hospitals-resist-taking-adults>. Accessed May 4, 2020.
47. Sternberg S. Coronavirus is causing a reshuffling of pediatric care. 2020. <https://www.usnews.com/news/health-news/articles/2020-04-10/coronavirus-is-causing-a-reshuffling-of-pediatric-care>. Accessed May 4, 2020.
48. Pediatricians show their mettle as coronavirus sweeps the nation. *AAP News*. 2020. <https://www.aapublications.org/news/2020/04/21/covidvignettes042120>. Accessed May 11, 2020.
49. California Department of Public Health. Executive order N-27-20: suspension of regulatory enforcement of hospital requirements. 2020. <https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHCQ/LCP/Pages/AFL-20-26.aspx>. Accessed April 7, 2020.
50. Illinois Executive Order in Response to COVID-19 - (COVID-19 Executive Order No. 17). 2020. <https://www2.illinois.gov/Pages/Executive-Orders/ExecutiveOrder2020-19.aspx>. Accessed April 5, 2020.
51. Biddison LD, Berkowitz KA, Courtney B, De Jong CM, Devereaux AV, Kisson N, et al. Ethical considerations: care of the critically ill and injured during pandemics and disasters: CHEST consensus statement. *Chest* 2014;146(4 Suppl):e145S-55S.
52. Devereaux AV, Tosh PK, Hick JL, Hanfling D, Geiling J, Reed MJ, et al. Engagement and education: care of the critically ill and injured during pandemics and disasters: CHEST consensus statement. *Chest* 2014;146(4 Suppl):e118S-33S.
53. Dichter JR, Kanter RK, Dries D, Luyckx V, Lim ML, Wilgis J, et al. System-level planning, coordination, and communication: care of the critically ill and injured during pandemics and disasters: CHEST consensus statement. *Chest* 2014;146(4 Suppl):e87S-102S.
54. Interim infection prevention and control recommendations for patients with suspected or confirmed coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in healthcare settings. 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/infection-control-recommendations.html>. Accessed May 11, 2020.
55. Healthcare workers and employers. 2020. <https://www.osha.gov/SLTC/covid-19/healthcare-workers.html>. Accessed May 11, 2020.

56. Berg S. 6 ways to address physician stress during COVID-19 pandemic. 2020. <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/public-health/6-ways-address-physician-stress-during-covid-19-pandemic>. Accessed April 5, 2020.
57. Managing healthcare workers' stress associated with the COVID-19 virus outbreak 2020. <https://www.ptsd.va.gov/covid/COVID19ManagingStressHCW032020.pdf>. Accessed April 5, 2020.
58. Morley G, Ives J, Bradbury-Jones C, Irvine F. What is 'moral distress'? A narrative synthesis of the literature. *Nurs Ethics* 2019;26:646-62.
59. Gilligan C. Moral injury and the ethic of care: reframing the conversation about differences. *J Soc Philos* 2014;45:89-106.
60. Meador KG, Nieuwsma JA. Moral injury: contextualized care. *J Med Humanities* 2018;39:93-9.
61. Larson CP, Dryden-Palmer KD, Gibbons C, Parshuram CS. Moral distress in PICU and neonatal ICU practitioners: a cross-sectional evaluation. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2017;18:e318-26.
62. Prentice T, Janvier A, Gillam L, Davis PG. Moral distress within neonatal and paediatric intensive care units: a systematic review. *Arch Dis Child* 2016;101:701-8.
63. Thomas TA, Thammasitboon S, Balmer DF, Roy K, McCullough LB. A qualitative study exploring moral distress among pediatric resuscitation team clinicians: challenges to professional integrity. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2016;17:e303-8.
64. Maslach C, Jackson SE. 2nd ed. Palo Alto (CA): Consulting Psychologists Press; 1986. Maslach burnout inventory manual (2nd ed).
65. Dryden-Palmer K, Moore G, McNeill C, Larson CP, Tomlinson G, Roumeliotis N, et al. Moral distress of clinicians in Canadian pediatric and neonatal ICUs. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2020;21:314-23.
66. Heston TF, Pahang JA. Moral injury or burnout? *South Med J* 2019;112:483.
67. Maunder R, Hunter J, Vincent L, Bennett J, Peladeau N, Leszcz M, et al. The immediate psychological and occupational impact of the 2003 SARS outbreak in a teaching hospital. *Can Med Assoc J* 2003;168:1245-51.
68. Imai H. Trust is a key factor in the willingness of health professionals to work during the COVID-19 outbreak: experience from the H1N1 pandemic in Japan 2009. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2020;74:329-30.
69. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho CS, et al. Immediate Psychological Responses and Associated Factors during the Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Epidemic among the General Population in China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17:1729.
70. Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, Wei N, et al. Factors associated with mental health outcomes among health care workers exposed to coronavirus disease 2019. *JAMA Netw Open* 2020;3:e203976.
71. Gold JAW, Wong KK, Szablewski CM, Patel PR, Rossow J, da Silva J, et al. Characteristics and Clinical Outcomes of Adult Patients Hospitalized with COVID-19 - Georgia, March 2020. *MMWR Morbid Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020;69:545-50.
72. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City area. *JAMA* 2020;323:2052-9.
73. Shanafelt T, Ripp J, Trockel M. Understanding and addressing sources of anxiety among health care professionals during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA* 2020 [Epub ahead of print].